

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/719,371	LIONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kristie D. Shingles	2141

All Participants:

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(1) Kristie D. Shingles, Examiner. (3) _____

(2) Sejoon Ahn, Atty. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 February 2008

Time: 1:30pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

1,2,11,12,14,20,21 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to perform an Examiner's Amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance. Specifically, to amend independent claims 1 and 12 with hardware presence to fulfill the 101 requirements and to incorporate the claim language of dependent claims 2, 12, 20 and 27 into their respective independent claims--specifically the feature of "a tunnel group identifier". Atty authorized the Examiner to make the necessary changes for bring the claims into compliance with the 101 requirements for allowability..